

LFV decays and anomalous magnetic (electric) moments in a lepton mass matrices ansatz induced by SUSY GUT

Wu-Jun Huo

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China

Abstract

By using the anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments of the τ lepton in an effective lagrangian approach to the new physics, we investigate the lepton flavor violation (LFV) decays, $l \rightarrow l'\gamma$, and μ, τ anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments in a lepton mass matrices ansatz which induced by SUSY GUT. We put very stringent constraints LFV decays and τ anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments.

PACS: 11.30.Hv, 12.60.-i, 13.35.-r, 14.60.Pq

1 Introduction

The discovery of neutrino oscillation has been one of the most exciting experimental results in the recent years[1]. As the experimental data accumulating in the Super-Kamiokande collaboration [2, 3] and more results published by SNO[4], K2K[5] and CHOOZ[6] experiments, the parameter space for the neutrino masses and mixing is narrowing down considerably. The recent analysis show that the atmospheric neutrino oscillation favors the $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ process with best fitted maximal mixing, $\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1$ [7]. Among the four solutions for the solar neutrino deficits, the large mixing angle MSW (LMA) solution is most favored with the best fit values $\tan^2 \theta_{sol} = 0.37$ and $\Delta m_{sol}^2 = 3.7 \times 10^{-5} eV^2$ [8], followed by the LOW and VAC solutions[8]. The small mixing angle (SMA) solution is ruled out at the 2σ level[3, 8].

However, a survey of the theoretical models in the literature shows that most of them yield the SMA or VAC solution for the solar neutrino problem[9]. The reason is that we usually believe that the exotic features of neutrinos, compared to that of the quarks, be attributed to their Majorana properties. Thus it is commonly to reconcile the two large mixing angles and a small mass squared splitting ratio $r \equiv \Delta m_{sol}^2 / \Delta m_{atm}^2 \approx 1.4 \times 10^{-2}$ in one neutrino mass matrix M_ν . However, it is proved that this is very difficult to achieve.

In ref. [10], the authors have built a phenomenological neutrino model, in which they can attribute both the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing and the large solar neutrino mixing to the charged lepton mass matrix. This happens naturally if one choose an asymmetric form of the charged lepton mass matrix. The charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices are given by

$$M_L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta & \sigma \\ -\delta & 0 & 1 - \epsilon \\ 0 & \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} m, \quad M_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

with $\sigma \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, $\epsilon \ll 1$, $\delta \ll \epsilon$. For simplicity one have assumed that M_ν is diagonal here. Taking the values of the parameters

$$\delta = 0.00079, \quad \epsilon = 0.12, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = 0.55 \quad (2)$$

one can obtain the correct mass ratios m_e/m_μ , m_μ/m_τ and the MNS matrix

$$U_{\text{MNS}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.851 & -0.525 & -0.0056 \\ 0.362 & 0.595 & -0.718 \\ -0.380 & -0.609 & -0.696 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

This model then predicts the neutrino mixing parameters as

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 0.999, \tan^2 \theta_{sol} = 0.38, \text{ and } U_{e3} = -0.0056, \quad (4)$$

which is in excellent agreement with the present most favored values.

Motivated by the announcement of the improved result for a_μ by BNL $g_\mu - 2$ Collaboration [11], we consider an effective Lagrangian approach to investigate the implications of the above model on δa_μ , δa_τ and the LFV processes, $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$. For the muon anomalous magnetic moment, the revised difference between experiment and SM is

$$\delta a_\mu^{\text{SM}} \equiv a_\mu^{\text{exp}} - a_\mu^{\text{SM}} = 16 \times 10^{-10} \quad (5)$$

which is a 1.6σ deviation. It might seem that the absolute magnitude of the deviation may be a hint of new physics. Many of these new physics effects can also contribute to a_τ and lepton flavor violation processes, such as $\tau \rightarrow l\gamma$ ($l = \mu, e$) and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$. For τ , experimentally, the recent analysis of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma$ process give [16]

$$a_\tau = 0.004 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.023, \quad d_\tau = (0.0 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-16} e \text{ cm}, \quad (6)$$

compared with the theoretical prediction of SM [17]

$$a_\tau = 1.1769(4) \times 10^{-3} \quad (7)$$

and a very tiny d_τ from CP violation in the quark sector. In ref. [18], the authors put more stringent bounds of a_τ and d_τ , which inferred from Z width $\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-)$ at LEP,

$$-0.004 \leq a_\tau \leq 0.006, \quad |d_\tau| \leq 1.1 \times 10^{-17} e \text{ cm}. \quad (8)$$

However, the most stringent bounds were put in ref. [19]. Huang, Lin and Zhang firstly introduced the non-universal magnetic and electric moment operators to the effective lagrangian in the lepton part and used a Fritzsch-Xing lepton mass matrix ansatz to pushed

the anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments of τ lepton down to

$$|a_\tau| < 10^{-11}, \quad |d_\tau| < 10^{-25} e \text{ cm}. \quad (9)$$

These results were obtained only in one Fritzsch-Xing ansatz [20, 21] which induce the nearly bi-maximal mixing pattern for atmospheric and solar neutrino oscillations [22]. In fact, there are several other deferent lepton mass matrix ansatzs, such as our ansatz above which induced from SUSY GUT, that are compatible with the current neutrino oscillation experimental data.

In Sec. 2, we give the general form of the effective lagrangian approach to new physics which was presented in Ref. [19]. The LFV decays, lepton anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments in our lepton mass matrices ansatz and numerical analysis will be given in Sec. 3. We get our conclusion in the last section.

2 Effective Lagrangian with magnetic and electric moment operators

As in refs. [18, 19], to study magnetic and electric moments beyond SM, the authors considered an effective lagrangian approach to new physics,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i C_i O_i, \quad (10)$$

where Λ is the new physics scale and O_i are $SU_c(3) \times SU_L(2) \times U_Y(1)$ invariant operators. C_i are constants which represent the coupling strengths of O_i . The complete operators list can be found in Ref. [23]. For the anomalous magnetic moment of the tau lepton, there have two dimension-six operators [18]

$$O_{\tau B} = \bar{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} \tau_R \Phi B_{\mu\nu}, \quad (11)$$

$$O_{\tau W} = \bar{L}_\tau \sigma^{\mu\nu} \vec{\sigma} \tau_R \Phi \vec{W}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (12)$$

Here L_τ is the τ left-handed isodoublet, (ν_τ, τ_L) , τ_R is right-handed singlet, Φ is the scalar doublet, $B_{\mu\nu}$ and $W_{\mu\nu}$ are $U_Y(1)$ and $SU_L(2)$ field strengths. Similarly, operators below

are introduced to induce the electric dipole moments of τ [18],

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau B} = \bar{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}i\gamma_5\tau_R\Phi B_{\mu\nu}, \quad (13)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tau W} = \bar{L}\sigma^{\mu\nu}i\gamma_5\vec{\sigma}\tau_R\Phi\vec{W}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (14)$$

When Φ gets vacuum expectation value, operators $\mathcal{O}_{\tau B}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tau W}$ can give rise to anomalous magnetic moment of lepton. After the broken of electroweak symmetry and diagonalization of the mass matrices of the leptons and the gauge bosons, the effective neutral current couplings of the leptons to the photon γ are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^\gamma = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}^\gamma + eg^\gamma \frac{1}{2m_\tau} (-ik_\nu \sigma^{\mu\nu}) S^\gamma \begin{pmatrix} \bar{e} \\ \bar{\mu} \\ \bar{\tau} \end{pmatrix}^T U_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_l^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} e \\ \mu \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where $g^\gamma = 1$, $V^\gamma = 1 - 4s_W^2$, 1, and

$$S^\gamma = \frac{2m_\tau}{e} \frac{\sqrt{2}v}{\Lambda^2} \left[C_{\tau W} \frac{s_W}{2} - C_{\tau B} c_W \right]. \quad (16)$$

Matrix U_l is the unitary matrix which diagonalizes the mass matrix of the charged lepton. Here, we take the case $M_l^{\text{diag}} = U_l M_l U_l^\dagger$. In our ansatz, because the mass matrix of neutrino is diagonalized, the matrices U_l are really the lepton mixing matrix U_{MNS} . We can note

$$V' = U_{\text{MNS}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{\text{MNS}}^\dagger. \quad (17)$$

From the above equation, the decay width of $l \rightarrow l' + \gamma$ is given by

$$\Gamma(l \rightarrow l' \gamma) = \frac{m_l}{32\pi} \left(V'_{ll'} e S^\gamma \frac{m_l}{m_\tau} \right)^2, \quad (18)$$

where $V'_{ll'}$ ($l \neq l'$) are the non-diagonal elements of matrix V' defined in Eq. (17). The new physics contribution to the anomalous magnetic moments of the lepton is given by

$$|\delta\alpha_l| = |V'_{ll} S^\gamma|. \quad (19)$$

From the above equations, after given the lepton mixing matrix U_{MNS} , we can obtain the matrix V' and put the constraints of δa_τ from the LFV decays $l \rightarrow l' \gamma$, and vice versa.

3 The lepton mass matrices ansatz from SUSY GUT and the numerical results

Experimentally, the observed neutrino oscillations indicate that neutrinos are massive and lepton flavors are mixed. By putting aside the LSND experiment and considering the current atmospheric and solar neutrino oscillation data, in the framework of three light neutrinos, one can put the strong constraints of the lepton mixing matrix U_{MNS} . Theoretically, There have been many models which can predict lepton mixing matrices. Of course, the models of lepton mass matrices M_l and M_ν should naturally lead to hierarchical neutrino mass squared differences and large lepton flavor mixing angles which be required by current neutrino oscillation data.

In ref. [10], there is a phenomenological neutrino model, in which has both the maximal atmospheric neutrino mixing and the large solar neutrino mixing to the charged lepton mass matrix. we can obtain the MNS matrix U_{MNS} (Eq. (3)) which is in excellent agreement with the present most favored values, and matrix V

$$V' = U_{\text{MNS}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} U_{\text{MNS}}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00003 & 0.0038 & 0.0036 \\ 0.0038 & 0.5285 & 0.4994 \\ 0.0036 & 0.4994 & 0.472 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

From Eqs. (18), (19) and the above matrix V' , given the current experimental upper limits on $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ [24], $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-11}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S^\gamma| &\leq 1.4 \times 10^{-10} \\ |\delta\alpha_\tau| &\leq 1.1 \times 10^{-11} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Similarly, from the effective operators in Eqs. (13) and (14), we put limit on the anomalous electric dipole moment

$$|d_\tau| \leq 2.7 \times 10^{-25}. \quad (22)$$

We find these results are are very stringent compared with their experimental bounds.

Moreover, also from Eq. (18), we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)}{\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow l\gamma)} = \left(\frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau}\right)^3 \left(\frac{|V'_{\mu e}|}{|V'_{\tau l}|}\right)^2. \quad (23)$$

By using the values of lifetime of μ and τ , τ_μ and τ_τ [24], we obtain

$$BR(\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma) \leq 1.6 \times 10^{-10} \quad (24)$$

$$BR(\tau \rightarrow e\gamma) \leq 8 \times 10^{-13} \quad (25)$$

These results are much smaller than the experimental bounds [24]. We put the very stringent constraints of LFV decays of τ , which can be tested by the future experiments.

From Eq. (19) and V' , we get that

$$\frac{|\delta a_\mu|}{|\delta a_\tau|} = \frac{V'_{\mu\mu}}{V'_{\tau\tau}} = 1.12 \quad (26)$$

By using the above value of δa_τ , we have

$$\delta a_\mu \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \quad (27)$$

This result are much smaller than the current 1.6σ experimental value of $g - 2$ and the previous value which was 2.6σ deviation from the SM. This shows that, perhaps along with the improvement of experimental precision, the value of δa_μ will decrease and change much smaller. That is say, the contribution to $g - 2$ from new physics is very small.

4 Conclusion

By using the effective lagrangian to the new physics, we investigate the lepton flavor violation and anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments in a lepton mass matrices ansatz induced by SUSY GUT. We put much more stringent bounds of τ anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moment than the current experimental results. This is similar to the conclusion of the Ref. [19]. We also study the lepton flavor violation decays, $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ and $\tau \rightarrow e\gamma$ and put much more stringent bounds than the current experimental values. We also find that muon anomalous magnetic moments from new physics is consistent with and much smaller than the current $g - 2$ experimental outcome.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Prof. Tao Huang and Dr. Xiao-Jun Bi for useful discussion and acknowledges supports from the Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation and CAS K.C. Wong Postdoctoral Research Award Fund.

References

- [1] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 1562 (1998); **82** 2644 (1999); **82** 1810 (1999); **82** 2430 (1999).
- [2] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 5651 (2001).
- [3] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 5656.
- [4] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, SNO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001).
- [5] S. H. Ahn *et al.*, K2K Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B511** 178 (2001).
- [6] M. Apollonio *et al.*, CHOOZ Collaboration, Phys. Lett. **B420** 397 (1998); **B466** 415 (1999).
- [7] T. Toshito, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, hep-ex/0105023; G. I. Fogli, E. Lisi and A. Marrone, hep-ph/0110089.
- [8] J. N. Bahcall, P. I. Krastev and A. Yu. Smirnov, JHEP **0105**, 015 (2001); J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, JHEP **0108**, 014(2001); J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, hep-ph/0111150.
- [9] S. M. Barr and Ilja Dorsner, Nucl. Phys. **B585**, 79 (2000); Ilja Dorsner and S. M. Barr, Nucl. Phys. **B617**, 493 (2001) and references therein.
- [10] X. J. Bi, and Y. B. Dai, hep-ph/0204317.

- [11] G. W. Bennet *et al.* [Muon g-2 Collaboration], hep-ex/0208001.
- [12] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**70 (1962).
- [13] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B436** 33 (1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
- [14] Muon g-2 Collaboration, H.N. Brown *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2227 (2001).
- [15] A. Pich, hep-ph/9704453.
- [16] L. Taylor, hep-ph/9810463.
- [17] A. Czarnecki and W. Marciano, hep-ph/9810512.
- [18] R. Escribano and E. Massó, Phys. Lett. **B395**, 369 (1997).
- [19] T. Huang, Z.H. Lin and X.M. Zhang, Phys.Lett. **B450**, 257 (1999).
- [20] H. Fritzsch and Z.Z. Xing, Prog. in Part. and Nul. Phys. **45** (2000) 1.
- [21] H. Fritzsch and Z.Z. Xing, Phyus. lett. **B372** (1996) 265.
- [22] G. Altarelli and F. Feruglio,JEPT **11** (1998) 21; Phys. Rep. **320** (1999) 295.
- [23] T. Huang, J.M. Yang, B.-L. Young and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 073007 (1998).
- [24] K. Hagiwara *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D66**, 010001 (2002).